

The increasing incidence of anal cancer: can it be explained by trends in risk groups?

R.P. van der Zee^{1*}, O. Richel¹, H.J.C. de Vries², J.M. Prins¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and ²Department of Dermatology, Academic Medical Centre (AMC) - University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, *corresponding author: tel.: +31 (0)20-5664380, fax: +31 (0)20-6972286, e-mail: R.P.vanderZee@amc.uva.nl

ABSTRACT

Background: Anal cancer incidence is gradually increasing. The cause of this increase is not exactly known. This systematic literature review aimed to investigate the trend in time of anal cancer incidence and to find an explanation for the supposed increase.

Methods: The TRIP database and PubMed were searched for trends in time in incidence of anal cancer in the general population, for risk factors and risk groups for anal cancer, and for incidence trends in time in these risk groups.

Results: Age-adjusted incidence rates have increased in all Western countries during the last decades, up to 2.2% per year. Infection with the oncogenic human papilloma virus is the most important aetiological factor. Besides increasing age, other risk factors have been identified: smoking, sexual practices, in particular receptive anal intercourse, and being human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive. The standardised incidence ratio (SIR) is significantly increased in HIV-positive men who have sex with men (MSM) (SIR 7.7-8), organ transplant recipients (SIR approx. 6) and women with a history of cervical cancer (SIR 6) or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (SIR 1.6). Absolute numbers of HIV-positive MSM and organ transplant recipients have increased significantly in the last decades.

Conclusion: The increasing incidence of anal cancer can be partially explained by an increase in the incidence rate in and absolute number of the most important risk group: HIV-positive MSM. The increasing number of renal transplant recipients probably also contributes. Further studies should answer the question whether these risk groups would benefit from preventive screening for anal cancer.

KEYWORDS

Anal cancer, HIV, incidence, risk groups

INTRODUCTION

Anal cancer originates from or nearby the transition zone in the anal canal. The squamous cell carcinoma is the most common form of anal cancer. Other, more rare, tumours of the anal canal are adenocarcinomas, anal melanomas, anal sarcomas and anal neuroendocrine tumours. As with cervical cancer, anal squamous cell carcinoma is caused by a persistent infection with the sexually transmitted oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV).¹⁻⁴ Several studies from different countries have reported an increase in anal cancer incidence during the last 20 years.⁵⁻¹⁰ In the Netherlands, for example, the incidence of anal cancer has doubled during the last decennia.³ Although anal cancer remains relatively rare in the general population, it accounts for a significant burden of disease in certain risk groups.^{5,6,10} The cause of this reported increasing incidence of anal cancer is relatively unknown, but an important role is attributed to the increase in the number of immunocompromised persons. Studies have shown that human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive persons and men who have sex with men (MSM) are at increased risk for anal cancer. Organ transplant recipients and women with a history of cervical cancer or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia are also known to have a greater risk for anal cancer.^{1,4,10} This literature review aimed to investigate the trend in time of anal cancer incidence in Western countries and to find an explanation for the supposed increase. We therefore explored whether there is indeed a change in incidence of anal cancer since 1970. Next, we tried to explain the grounds of this change by identifying known risk factors and risk groups of anal cancer. Finally, we focussed on changes in anal cancer incidence among these risk groups, to see whether such changes, if present, could explain the overall increase in anal cancer incidence.

The findings of this literature review might be of help in identifying risk groups who could benefit from adequate prevention measures, including screening for anal cancer by high-resolution anoscopy.

METHODS

Two comprehensive literature searches were performed. For the first search, focussing on trends in the incidence of anal cancer, the TRIP database was searched in February 2012, using the term 'anal cancer' and the combination 'anal cancer' and 'incidence'. The Medline/PubMed database was searched for data from 1970 onwards on the incidence of anal cancer. This search was restricted to the English and Dutch language. The exact search was:

((“Anus Neoplasms”[Mesh]) OR (anal cancer[tiab]) OR (anal carcinoma[tiab]) OR (anal intraepithelial neoplasia[tiab])) AND ((“Incidence”[Mesh]) OR (incidence[tiab]))

Limits: English, Dutch, Publication Date from 1970/01/01
From both the TRIP and PubMed searches, relevant studies on trends in time in the incidence of anal cancer in the general population were identified, as well as specific studies on the trends in time among the risk groups HIV-positive persons, MSM, organ transplant recipients and women with a history of cervical cancer or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.

For the second search, focussing on risk factors and risk groups, the TRIP database was searched in February 2012, using the terms 'anal cancer' and 'etiology', 'anal cancer' and 'risk factors' and 'anal cancer' and 'risk group'. The Medline/PubMed database was searched for reviews (from 2007 through June 2012) on risk factors and risk groups for anal cancer. This search was restricted to the English and Dutch language. Initially, this search included original studies from 1970 onwards. This yielded too many articles on risk factors and risk groups. Therefore, we restricted the search to reviews from 2007 onwards. We assumed that data published before this date will have been covered by these recent reviews.

The exact search was:

((“Anus Neoplasms”[Mesh]) OR (anal cancer[tiab]) OR (anal carcinoma[tiab]) OR (anal intraepithelial neoplasia[tiab])) AND ((“Epidemiologic Factors”[Mesh]) OR (Etiology[Subheading]) OR (Etiology[tiab]) OR (Risk factor[tiab]) OR (Risk group[tiab])) Filters: Publication date from 2007/01/01; Review; English; Dutch

Reference lists of the retrieved articles were reviewed to identify the original studies on risk factors and risk groups. These studies were also retrieved.


Studies on the incidence of anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) and HPV infections only, studies that did not report population-based incidence rates, and studies that did not distinguish between colorectal cancer and anal cancer were excluded. Studies on incidence rates of anal cancer from other than Western countries (i.e. Northern America, Western Europe and Australia) were also excluded, since most of these countries lack nationwide databases that go back far enough in time to see trends.

Additional data on the incidence of anal cancer in the Netherlands were obtained from the Dutch Cancer Registry (NKR) database (<http://cijfersoverkanker.nl/>). Incidence data of anal cancer in the United States were obtained from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program database of the US National Cancer Institute (<http://seer.cancer.gov/>). We did not find other easily accessible national cancer registries. Additional data on the proportion of HIV-infected MSM in the total HIV-positive population in follow-up in the Netherlands were obtained from the 2007 and 2011 Monitoring Reports of the Dutch HIV Monitoring Foundation (<http://www.hiv-monitoring.nl/>).

Interpretation of data

The International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Revision, (ICD-O-3) codes C21.0-C21.8, which corresponds with International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Tenth Edition, (ICD-10) codes C21.0-C21.8, is most commonly used to classify anal tumours.¹¹ All studies reported used this or similar histological and topographical classifications of anal cancer, unless otherwise specified. Age adjustment of anal cancer rates is necessary, since cancer is more prevalent among the elderly (*figure 1*).

Figure 1. Age-specific SEER incidence rates by sex: anus, anal canal and anorectum, all ages, all races 1992-2009; derived from reference 13



Cancer sites include invasive cases only unless otherwise noted. Incidence source: SEER 13 (San Francisco, Connecticut, Detroit, Hawaii, Iowa, New Mexico, Seattle, Utah, Atlanta, San Jose-Monterey, Los Angeles, Alaska Native Registry and Rural Georgia). Rates are per 100,000. Datapoints were not shown for rates that were based on less than 16 cases.

Countries or regions with an increasing number of elderly people would therefore get erroneously increasing incidence rates without age adjustment. All studies used age adjustment, unless otherwise specified. Differences in incidence rates over time were considered significant at p value <0.05 .^{12,13}

RESULTS

Incidence of anal cancer and trends in time

The first search in the TRIP database, using the term 'anal cancer', yielded 291 articles of the level of secondary evidence, from which three studies seemed relevant after selection of the title and abstract, and they were used. The

other search in the TRIP database, combining the terms 'anal cancer' and 'incidence', yielded 129 articles, none of which seemed relevant. The first Medline/PubMed search, focussing on the incidence of anal cancer, identified 453 articles, from which 104 articles seemed relevant by title and abstract. Eventually 12 articles were used for the general incidence rates of anal cancer (figure 2). One additional article was found by checking the reference lists of retrieved articles.

We found that recent age-adjusted incidence rates of anal cancer differ between Western countries, with rates ranging from 0.7 per 100,000/year in the United Kingdom,⁷ 0.83 in the Netherlands,¹⁴ 1.35 in Australia¹⁰ to 1.7 per 100,000/year in the United States.¹³ In most countries, incidence rates are higher for women. Incidence

Figure 2. Results of systematic literature search on general incidence rates of anal cancer and incidence rates among specific risk groups for anal cancer




Table 1. Age-adjusted incidence rates of anal cancer and changes over time in anal cancer incidence by country, gender and period

Country	Diagnosis		Age-adjusted incidence rates per 100,000 per year/period		Annual percentage change per period (%)
USA ^{12,13}	All histological types of cancer of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1975: 0.8	2005-2009: 1.7	1975-2009: 2.2*
		Female	0.9	1.9	2.0*
		Male	0.7	1.5	2.6*
Canada (Quebec) ¹⁵	Squamous cell carcinomas of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1984-1986: -	1999-2001: -	-
		Female	0.4	0.7	
		Male	0.3	0.4	
Netherlands ¹⁴	All histological types of cancer of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1989: 0.45	2010: 0.83	-
		Female	0.42	0.85	
		Male	0.49	0.82	
Southeast England ⁷	All histological types of cancer of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1960-1964: 0.50	2000-2004: 1.10	-
		Female	0.45	1.18	
		Male	0.79	1.06	
Scotland ⁸	Squamous cell carcinomas of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	Late 1970s: -	1998-2002: -	-
		Female	0.23-0.27	0.55	
		Male	0.14-0.17	0.37	
Denmark ^{9,70}	All histological types of cancer of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1943: -	1983-1987: -	-
		Female	0.25	0.74	
		Male	0.20	0.38	
Australia ¹⁰	All histological types of cancer of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1978-1982: -	2003-2008: -	1978-2008: -
		Female	0.68	1.48	2.9 (95% CI 2.2-3.6)†
		Male	0.45	0.80	1.4 (95% CI 0.6-2.2)†
	Squamous cell carcinomas of the anus, anal canal and anorectum (except sarcomas) (ICD-10 C21.0-C21.8)	Total	1982-1987: 0.65	2000-2005: 1.00	-
		Female	0.78	1.10	1.88 (95% CI 1.18-2.58)‡
		Male	0.48	0.88	3.42 (95% CI 2.49-4.35)‡

*No confidence interval available; †p < 0.05; ‡p < .001.

rates have increased in all Western countries during the last decades (table 1). Except for Canada,¹⁵ incidence rates of anal cancer increased in both sexes for the studied countries.

Data for the Netherlands

Incidence data of anal cancer in the Netherlands were retrieved from the Dutch Cancer Registry (NKR) database. It includes all cancer diagnoses of the anal canal and anus and is age adjusted using the European standard population.¹⁴


The age-adjusted incidence rate of anal cancer in the Netherlands in 1989 for both sexes combined was 0.45 per 100,000 inhabitants and the gender-specific rate was higher for men than for women. The incidence increased significantly (84%) between 1989 and 2010, with the increase being more prominent in women than

in men (102% versus 67%) (figure 3). In 2010 the overall incidence was 0.83 per 100,000, and it is slightly higher for women (0.85 per 100,000) than for men (0.82 per 100,000). In 2011, 40 persons died from anal cancer in the Netherlands.¹⁴

Aetiology, risk factors and risk groups

The searches in the TRIP database, using the terms 'anal cancer' and 'etiology', 'anal cancer' and 'risk factors' and 'anal cancer' and 'risk group' yielded 60, 137 and 178 articles of the level of secondary evidence, respectively. None of these articles seemed relevant. The Medline/ PubMed search, focussing on risk factors and risk groups, identified 95 reviews, from which 37 reviews seemed relevant by title and abstract. Eventually 22 reviews were used (figure 4).

Figure 3. Age-adjusted incidence rates of cancer of the anus and anal canal in the Netherlands 1989-2010; derived from reference 14




1992 estimated the odds ratios (OR) for anal cancer among smokers versus non-smokers at 3.0 (95% CI 1.9-5.0) for women and 5.0 (95% CI 1.6-16.1) for men, with the risk decreasing after cessation of smoking.¹⁶ More recently, a study confirmed the risk of smoking for anal cancer for men (adjusted OR=3.9; 95% CI 1.9-8.0) and women (adjusted OR=3.8; 95% CI 2.3-6.2), without variation in age.¹⁷ A population-based case-control study from Denmark and Sweden found that the risk increased linearly by 6.7% per pack-year.¹⁸ An earlier study already found a correlation between pack-years of smoking and anal cancer (RR=1.9 for 20 pack-years, p value <0.001; RR=5.2 for 50 pack-years, p value <0.001).¹⁹⁻²¹

HPV infection

Infection with human papillomavirus is the most prevalent sexually transmitted disease with approximately 75% of all sexually active people infected during lifetime.²² Normally the virus is rapidly cleared and genital warts (caused by the non-oncogenic HPV types 6 and 11) will only develop in 1% of infected patients.^{1,23} Infection with oncogenic HPV types (HPV-16 and HPV-18) is the most important aetiological factor for anal cancer.^{2,24} A population-based case-control study in Denmark and Sweden tested 386 patients with anal cancer and found oncogenic HPV types in 90% of women and 64% of men.²⁵ A similar study in the United States detected oncogenic HPV in 87.9% of 262 anal cancers. No differences were reported between sexes.¹⁷ Of the more than 120 subtypes of HPV, HPV-16 was shown to be the most frequently (70%) detected in patients with anal cancer.^{1,17,18,26} A recent systematic review, combining worldwide data, found a prevalence of 65.6% of HPV-16 and 5.1% of HPV-18 in anal cancer.²⁷ Similar to cervical cancer, anal cancer seems to be preceded by a premalignant lesion, called anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN), which is also associated with HPV infection.^{1,28} Anal condylomata are associated with anal cancer as well.^{17,18,29} Because genital warts are caused by HPV-6 or HPV-11, which are not oncogenic, the association of genital warts with anal cancer is more likely to be a marker for high-risk sexual behaviour resulting in co-infection with oncogenic HPV subtypes.^{19,30}

Figure 4. Results of systematic literature search on aetiology, risk factors and risk groups of anal cancer



For a long time, anal cancer was thought to develop as a result of chronic irritation from haemorrhoids, anal fissures, fistulae and inflammatory bowel disease. More recent studies have rejected these ideas and identified certain aetiological factors, risk factors and risk groups.^{1,4}

Smoking

Several studies have confirmed cigarette smoking to be a risk factor for the development of anal cancer. A study in

Sexual practices

Several studies have investigated the relationship between sexual practices and the risk for anal cancer. Early population-based case-control studies in 1987 and 1989 have shown that men who were never married, who have not been exclusively heterosexual and men who have practised receptive anal intercourse had higher risks for anal cancer.^{19,29}

Another population-based case-control study in 2004 confirmed these findings by showing that the risk of anal cancer was higher in men who were not exclusively

heterosexual (OR=17.3; 95% CI 8.2-36.1). Among these men, practising receptive anal intercourse was independently strongly related to the risk of anal cancer (OR=6.8; 95% CI 1.4-33.8). Men who have had more than 15 sexual partners were also at risk for anal cancer (for heterosexual men: OR=3.9, for homosexual men: OR=6.6).¹⁷ These findings suggest that MSM can be considered a risk group for anal cancer.

Women with anal cancer were more likely to report a history of anal intercourse (16.9%) compared with women without anal cancer (11.0%). The risk for anal cancer was especially high in women who had more than 10 sexual partners.^{17,31}

A history of sexually transmitted diseases is correlated with a higher risk for anal cancer.^{17,19,29,31} This is prone to confounding, since it is hard to distinguish from the increasing risk that is already caused by receptive anal intercourse.

HIV-positive persons

Immunosuppression is reported to be an important factor in the development of anal cancer. The association between anal cancer and HIV infection is difficult to confirm because of confounders. The relationship between anal cancer and receptive anal intercourse has been mentioned above. In addition, HIV-positive individuals are reported to be more often detected with an HPV infection than HIV-negative individuals and when infected, often with more than one subtype.³²⁻³⁵ On the other hand, patients infected with HPV are seen to have higher rates of HIV infections.³⁶

HIV-positive persons are more likely to be detected with AIN,^{32,33,37,38} and have a more rapid progress from AIN to anal cancer. It is suggested that the greater risk for anal cancer in HIV-positive as compared with HIV-negative persons is caused by differences between HIV-positive and HIV-negative persons in the biology of anal HPV infection and anal cancer.^{38,39} Immunosuppression probably plays a role: a negative correlation was found between CD4+ T cell counts and the appearance of AIN^{32,37,40} and anal cancer⁴¹⁻⁴³ in HIV-positive persons. There are also studies that suggest an independent correlation between anal cancer and HIV infection itself.⁴⁴ A decrease of incidence has not been seen during the last years and it has been suggested that the widespread use of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) makes HIV-positive individuals live longer and makes them prone to infection with HPV for a longer period, leaving more time for developing anal cancer. According to this explanation, anal cancer is more associated with a persistent HPV infection than with HIV.^{1,32,45}

One study reported that even during an early stage of HIV infection the incidence of anal cancer was increased significantly. This finding also suggests that severe

immunosuppression caused by the HIV infection is not the only explanation for the development of anal cancer.⁴⁶

Two recent meta-analyses, combining data from six⁴⁷ and eight⁸ individual studies respectively, showed an increased risk for anal cancer in HIV-infected patients of approximately 30-fold compared with the general population ((SIR=28.75; 95% CI 21.6-38.3),⁴⁷ (SIR=28; 95% CI 21-35)).⁴⁸ Before the introduction of cART in 1996, the incidence of anal cancer was 6.8-fold higher among HIV-positive women compared with the general population.³⁹

HIV-infected MSM were reported to have the highest risk for anal cancer.^{38,49-51} A recent meta-analysis, including nine individual studies, revealed that the incidence of anal cancer among HIV-positive MSM was 46 per 100,000 person-years and therefore much higher (p=0.011) than the incidence among HIV-negative MSM, which was 5 per 100,000 person-years (*figure 5*). The incidence rate found in HIV-negative MSM is still higher than the incidence in the general population.³⁵

Organ transplant recipients

Chronic immunosuppressive therapy, for example following solid organ transplantation, is known to be a risk factor for several types of squamous cell carcinomas. Since the most common type of anal cancer is squamous cell carcinoma, several studies have found a high risk for anal cancer for patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy.⁵²⁻⁵³ It is thought that the increased risk is the result of persistent HPV infection, caused by chronic immunosuppression.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸

A recent meta-analysis in 2007 estimated the risk of anal cancer for solid organ transplant recipients at six times higher compared with the general population (SIR=5.85; 95% CI 1.36-17.3), based on two studies from Sweden and Australia/New Zealand.⁴⁷ A Danish nationwide cohort study published in 2010 revealed a 14-fold higher risk for anal squamous cell carcinoma compared with the general Danish population (SIR=14.4; 95% CI 7.0-26.4),⁵⁹ and a comprehensive cohort study (1987-2008) in the United States reported a sixfold higher risk compared with the US general population (SIR=5.84; 95% CI 4.7-7.18) (p value <0.001). The incidence of anal cancer among organ transplant recipients was 11.6 per 100,000 person-years according to this study.⁶⁰

Women with a history of cervical cancer or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia


As mentioned above, population-based studies have found a link between cervical cancer and anal cancer.⁶¹ This link is explained by HPV infection.³ Women with anal cancer are more likely to have had a history of vulvar/vaginal cancer (OR=15.4; 95% CI 4.9-48.0) or cervical cancer (OR=4.3; 95% CI 2.7-6.9) according to data from the Danish Cancer Registry for the period 1943-1989.²¹

In a prospective population-based study of all Swedish women aged 18-50 years the incidence rate ratios of anal cancer in women with a history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) grade 3 was investigated for the period 1968-2004. Women with such history had a fivefold higher risk for anal cancer.⁶² A more recent study in the United States using data from the SEER program from the period 1973-2007 reported a 16-fold higher risk for anal

cancer for women with a history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and a sixfold higher risk for women with a history of cervical cancer. Women with histories of vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia and vulvar cancer had higher risks as well: SIR=22.2 and SIR=17.4, respectively.⁶³

The increased risks could not be explained by therapeutic interventions for cervical cancer, such as radiation, in any of the studies.

Figure 5. Incidence of anal cancer in men who have sex with men, by HIV status and before and after the introduction of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) (= HAART); derived from reference 35



Incidence and trends in incidence of anal cancer among risk groups

The first literature search focussing on the incidence of anal cancer was used to identify articles on the specific incidence rates and trends in time of anal cancer among risk groups as well (figure 2). From this search, ten articles were useful concerning the HIV-positive persons and MSM, one concerning the specific incidence rates and trends in time of anal cancer among organ transplant recipients, and no articles were useful for the risk group of women with a history of cervical cancer or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.

If significant changes can be seen in the incidence of anal cancer for these risk groups, this might (partly) explain the increasing incidence of anal cancer world-wide. Studies that describe trends in time in anal cancer incidence among these groups are rare. Therefore we also used an alternative way to determine whether a risk group contributes to the increase in anal cancer. The (increasing) incidence of anal cancer in a risk group can be estimated by a simple multiplication using the two factors increased risk for anal cancer (defined by standardised incidence ratios, for example) in that particular risk group and the increase in absolute number of persons in that risk group.

HIV-positive persons

A meta-analysis combining incidence data from four studies of the pre-cART era (before 1996) and five studies of the cART era (from 1996 onwards) showed that the standardised incidence ratio increased from 37 (95% CI 19-75) in the pre-cART era to 47 (95% CI 22-100) in the cART era.⁴⁸

A prospective cohort study in England, not included in this meta-analysis, found similar data.⁶⁴ The standardised incidence ratio of anal cancer compared with the general population in this cohort, including 8640 HIV-positive patients, has risen from 35 per 100,000 person-years in the period before the introduction of cART (1984-1995) to 92 per 100,000 in the cART era (1996-2003) (p value >0.05), which is significantly higher than the incidence in the general population (p value <0.001 for both).⁶⁴

HIV-positive MSM

As discussed above, HIV-positive MSM are the most prominent risk group for anal cancer. One meta-analysis, investigating the incidence of anal cancer among HIV-positive MSM before and after the introduction of cART, combines data from nine individual studies (six HIV/AIDS and cancer registries linkage studies and three observational cohort studies). The incidence of anal cancer among HIV-positive MSM was higher from 1996 onwards (after introduction of cART) (78 per 100,000 person-years), than it was before 1996 (22 per 100,000) (p value=0.013) (figure 5). The authors of this meta-analysis remark that

incidence rates of the pre-cART era are not age adjusted and some of the increase might be explained by ageing of the HIV-positive population.³⁵

One of the studies in the above meta-analysis, based on combined incidence data of 13 cohorts in North America from 1996 and 2007, reports a plateau phase in the increase of incidence among HIV-positive MSM for recent years. Standardised incidence ratios for HIV-positive MSM have developed from 90 per 100,000 in the period 1996-1999 to 159 per 100,000 in the period 2000-2003 and 131 per 100,000 in the period 2004-2007.⁶⁵ For the Netherlands, we also observed such a plateau in incidence, with approximately 20 cases of anal cancer diagnosed annually in HIV-positive MSM (Richel O, unpublished data).

Since HIV-positive MSM have a 80-fold higher risk for anal cancer, an increase in the proportion of HIV-positive MSM in the population will contribute to a higher incidence of anal cancer in the general population. If we look further into the MSM population in the Netherlands, by means of the Monitoring Reports of the Dutch HIV Monitoring Foundation, we see that the HIV-infected MSM population in follow-up has increased by 51.7% from 5619 in 2007 to 8523 in 2011. This means that the proportion of HIV-positive MSM in the population is increasing over time. Registration differed for the years before 2007.^{66,67}

Organ transplant recipients

No studies on the trend in time in incidence of anal cancer among organ transplant recipients could be found. As already discussed, organ transplant recipients have a much higher risk for anal cancer compared with the general population. If the proportion of organ transplant recipients in the population increases, this is likely to increase the incidence of anal cancer. A recent Dutch population-based retrospective cohort study, based on data from the Dutch Foundation for Renal Replacement Therapy Registration (Renine), showed that the number of renal transplant recipients increased in the period 1995-2009, from 3640 renal transplant recipients in 1995 to 8400 recipients in 2009.⁶⁸

DISCUSSION

Incidence rates have increased in practically all Western countries during the last decades. Whereas infection with oncogenic HPV is the most important aetiological factor, several risk factors and risk groups for anal cancer have been identified during the last decennia, in particular smoking (OR=3.9-5 for men, OR=3-3.8 for women), MSM (OR=17.3), MSM practising receptive anal intercourse (additional OR=6.8), a history of sexually transmitted diseases, having had more than 15 sexual

partners, HIV positivity (OR=28-28.75), HIV-positive MSM (SIR=77.8), organ transplant recipients (SIR=5.85) and women with a history of cervical cancer (SIR=6.2) or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (SIR=16.4). We showed that incidence rates of anal cancer among HIV-positive persons have significantly increased over time in multiple countries. Such data are not available for other risk groups. The increasing incidence of anal cancer could be caused by an increased risk for anal cancer in specific risk groups and in addition by increasing numbers of patients belonging to these risk groups. If the absolute number of these risk groups in the population increases, this is likely to contribute to the increasing incidence of anal cancer. We found that the number of HIV-positive MSM in the Dutch population increased by 51.7% from 2007 to 2011. Since HIV-positive MSM have a significant risk for anal cancer, this supports our hypothesis that this risk group contributes to the overall increase in incidence. HIV-positive MSM account for approximately 50% (20 deaths per year) of the total of 40 people dying annually from anal cancer in the Netherlands. The increased number of renal transplant recipients probably contributes to the increased incidence of anal cancer as well: in the Netherlands, the number of renal transplant recipients increased from 3640 in 1995 to 8400 in 2009. This probably also applies to other countries. Other factors are also likely to influence the incidence of anal cancer. In the Netherlands, for example, the number of people smoking cigarettes decreased by 20% from 2000 through 2007.⁶⁹ Based on these figures one would expect the incidence of anal cancer to decrease, since smokers have a three- to fivefold increased risk for anal cancer.

Limitations of this review mostly result from limitations in the studies used in this review. One of the limitations is the difference in defining anal cancer between studies from individual countries. Most, but not all, studies used identical topographical codes from the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD) to classify cancer of the anus, anal canal, and anorectum (C21.1-C21.8). Another limitation is that distinction between histological types of anal cancer (e.g. squamous cell carcinoma or adenocarcinoma) was not always made in the articles we used. Furthermore, the studies reported incidence data for different periods of time and used different standard populations for age adjustment. Therefore, direct comparison of the results was sometimes difficult. One factor that should be considered is the use of more and/or better diagnostic methods for the detection of anal cancer over time. However, studies that support this suggestion have not been found.

In conclusion, we have shown that an increased risk for anal cancer in certain risk groups, in particular HIV-positive MSM and organ transplant recipients, and

increasing numbers of people belonging to these risk groups contributes to the overall increase in anal cancer incidence. Further studies should answer the question to what exact extent these risk groups contribute to the overall anal cancer incidence, and whether these risk groups would benefit from preventive screening for anal cancer.

REFERENCES

1. Uronis HE, Bendell JC. Anal cancer: an overview. *Oncologist*. 2007;12:524-34.
2. Clark MA, Hartley A, Geh JI. Cancer of the anal canal. *Lancet Oncol*. 2004;5:149-57.
3. Van Lieshout A, Pronk A. [Increasing incidence of anal cancer in the Netherlands]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*. 2010;154:A1163.
4. Ryan DP, Compton CC, Mayer RJ. Carcinoma of the anal canal. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:792-800.
5. Johnson LG, Madeleine MM, Newcomer LM, Schwartz SM, Daling JR. Anal cancer incidence and survival: the surveillance, epidemiology and end results experience 1973-2000. *Cancer*. 2004;101:281-8.
6. Joseph DA, Miller JW, Wu X, et al. Understanding the burden of human papillomavirus-associated anal cancers in the US. *Cancer*. 2008;113(10 Suppl):2892-900.
7. Robinson D, Coupland V, Møller H. An analysis of temporal and generational trends in the incidence of anal and other HPV-related cancers in Southeast England. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;100:527-31.
8. Brewster DH, Bhatti LA. Increasing incidence of squamous cell carcinoma of the anus in Scotland, 1975-2002. *Br J Cancer*. 2006;95:87-90.
9. Nielsen A, Munk C, Kjaer SK. Trends in incidence of anal cancer and high-grade anal intraepithelial neoplasia in Denmark, 1978-2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;130:1168-73.
10. Jin F, Stein AN, Conway EL, Regan DG, et al. Trends in anal cancer in Australia, 1982-2005. *Vaccine*. 2011;29:2322-7.
11. ICD-10. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology. 10th edition. Geneva: WHO; 2010 (cited 2012 June 30); Available from <http://www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/>
12. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2009 (Vintage 2009 Populations). National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD, based on November 2011 SEER data submission; 2012 April (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2009_pops09/
13. Fast Stats: An interactive tool for access to SEER cancer statistics. Surveillance Research Program (homepage on the Internet). National Cancer Institute; (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: <http://seer.cancer.gov/faststats/index.php>
14. Dutch Cancer Registry (NKR) database (homepage on the Internet). Integraal kankercentrum Nederland; (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: <http://cijfersoverkanker.nl/>
15. Louchini R, Goggin P, Steben M. The evolution of HPV-related anogenital cancers reported in Quebec — Incidence rates and survival probabilities. *Chronic Dis Can*. 2008;28:99-106.
16. Daling JR, Sherman KJ, Hislop TG, et al. Cigarette smoking and the risk of anogenital cancer. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;135:180-9.
17. Daling JR, Madeleine MM, Johnson LG, et al. Human papillomavirus, smoking, and sexual practices in the etiology of anal cancer. *Cancer*. 2004;101:270-80.
18. Frisch M, Glimelius B, Wohlfahrt J, Adami HO, Melbye M. Tobacco smoking as a risk factor in anal carcinoma: an antiestrogenic mechanism? *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1999;91:708-15.
19. Holly EA, Whittemore AS, Aston DA, Ahn DK, Nickoloff BJ, Kristiansen JJ. Anal cancer incidence: genital warts, anal fissure or fistula, hemorrhoids, and smoking. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1989;81:1726-31.

20. Rabkin CS, Biggar RJ, Melbye M, Curtis RE. Second primary cancers following anal and cervical carcinoma: evidence of shared etiologic factors. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;136:54-8.
21. Frisch M, Olsen JH, Melbye M. Malignancies that occur before and after anal cancer: clues to their etiology. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1994;140:12-9.
22. Palefsky JM. Human papillomavirus infection in HIV-infected persons. *Top HIV Med*. 2007;15:130-3.
23. Welton ML, Sharkey FE, Kahlenberg MS. The etiology and epidemiology of anal cancer. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am*. 2004;13:263-75.
24. Chin-Hong PV, Palefsky JM. Natural history and clinical management of anal human papillomavirus disease in men and women infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;35:1127-34.
25. Frisch M, Fenger C, van den Brule AJ, et al. Variants of squamous cell carcinoma of the anal canal and perianal skin and their relation to human papillomaviruses. *Cancer Res*. 1999;59:753-7.
26. Roark R. The need for anal dysplasia screening and treatment programs for HIV-infected men who have sex with men: a review of the literature. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2011;22:433-43.
27. Hoots BE, Palefsky JM, Pimenta JM, Smith JS. Human papillomavirus type distribution in anal cancer and anal intraepithelial lesions. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;124:2375-83.
28. Kreuter A, Potthoff A, Brockmeyer NH, et al. Anal carcinoma in human immunodeficiency virus-positive men: Results of a prospective study from Germany. *Br J Dermatol*. 2010;162:1269-77.
29. Daling JR, Weiss NS, Hislop TG, et al. Sexual practices, sexually transmitted diseases, and the incidence of anal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 1987;317:973-7.
30. Palefsky JM. Human Papillomavirus-Related Disease in Men: Not Just a Women's Issue. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;46(4 Suppl):S12-9.
31. Frisch M, Glimelius B, van den Brule AJ, et al. Sexually transmitted infection as a cause of anal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337:1350-8.
32. Palefsky JM. Human papillomavirus infection and anogenital neoplasia in human immunodeficiency virus-positive men and women. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*. 1998;23:15-20.
33. Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Ralston ML, Jay N. Prevalence and risk factors for human papillomavirus infection of the anal canal in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative homosexual men. *J Infect Dis*. 1998;177:361-7.
34. Chin-Hong PV, Vittinghoff E, Cranston RD, et al. Age-related prevalence of anal cancer precursors in homosexual men: The EXPLORE study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2005;97:896-905.
35. Machalek DA, Poynten M, Jin F, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection and associated neoplastic lesions in men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13:487-500.
36. Chin-Hong PV, Husnik M, Cranston RD, et al. Anal human papillomavirus infection is associated with HIV acquisition in men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2009;23:1135-42.
37. Critchlow CW, Surawicz CM, Holmes KK, et al. Prospective study of high grade anal squamous intraepithelial neoplasia in a cohort of homosexual men: Influence of HIV infection, immunosuppression and human papillomavirus infection. *AIDS*. 1995;9:1255-62.
38. Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Hogeboom CJ, et al. Virologic, immunologic, and clinical parameters in the incidence and progression of anal squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV-positive and HIV-negative homosexual men. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1998;17:314-9.
39. Frisch M, Biggar RJ, Goedert JJ. Human papillomavirus-associated cancers in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2000;92:1500-10.
40. Palefsky JM, Holly EA, Ralston ML, Jay N, Berry JM, Darragh TM. High incidence of anal high-grade squamous intra-epithelial lesions among HIV-positive and HIV-negative homosexual and bisexual men. *AIDS*. 1998;12:495-503.
41. Bedimo RJ, McGinnis KA, Dunlap M, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Justice AC. Incidence of non-AIDS-defining malignancies in HIV-infected versus noninfected patients in the HAART era: impact of immunosuppression. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52:203-8.
42. Guiguet M, Boué F, Cadranet J, et al. Effect of immunodeficiency, HIV viral load, and antiretroviral therapy on the risk of individual malignancies (FHDH-ANRS CO4): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:1152-9.
43. de Pokomandy A, Rouleau D, Ghattas G, et al. HAART and Progression to High-Grade Anal Intraepithelial Neoplasia in Men Who Have Sex with Men and Are Infected with HIV. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52:1174-81.
44. D'Souza G, Wiley DJ, Li X, et al. Incidence and epidemiology of anal cancer in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48:491-9.
45. Palefsky J. Human papillomavirus-related disease in people with HIV. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2009;4:52-6.
46. Grulich AE, Li Y, McDonald A, Correll PKL, Law MG, Kaldor JM. Rates of non-AIDS-defining cancers in people with HIV infection before and after AIDS diagnosis. *AIDS*. 2002;16:1155-61.
47. Grulich AE, Tvan Leeuwen M, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people with HIV/AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2007;370:59-67.
48. Shiels MS, Cole SR, Kirk GD, Poole C. A meta-analysis of the incidence of non-AIDS cancers in HIV-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;52:611-22.
49. Silverberg MJ, Lau B, Justice AC, et al. Risk of Anal Cancer in HIV-Infected and HIV-Uninfected Individuals in North America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;54:1026-34.
50. Piketty C, Selinger-Leneman H, Grabar S, et al. Marked increase in the incidence of invasive anal cancer among HIV-infected patients despite treatment with combination antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2008;22:1203-11.
51. Palefsky JM, Gillison ML, Strickler HD. Chapter 16: HPV vaccines in immunocompromised women and men. *Vaccine*. 2006;24(Suppl 3):S3/140-6.
52. Patel HS, Silver AR, Northover JM. Anal cancer in renal transplant patients. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2007;22:1-5.
53. Parnaby CN, Barrow EJ, Edirimanne SB, Parrott NR, Frizelle FA, Watson AJ. Colorectal complications of end-stage renal failure and renal transplantation: a review. *Colorectal Dis*. 2012;14:403-15.
54. Arends MJ, Benton EC, McLaren KM, Stark LA, Hunter JA, Bird CC. Renal allograft recipients with high susceptibility to cutaneous malignancy have an increased prevalence of human papillomavirus DNA in skin tumours and a greater risk of anogenital malignancy. *Br J Cancer*. 1997;75:722-8.
55. Sillman F, Stanek A, Sedlis A, et al. The relationship between human papillomavirus and lower genital intraepithelial neoplasia in immunosuppressed women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1984;150:300-8.
56. Sillman FH, Sedlis A. Anogenital papillomavirus infection and neoplasia in immunodeficient women: an update. *Dermatol Clin*. 1991;9:353-69.
57. Sillman FH, Fruchter RG, Chen YS, Camilien L, Sedlis A, McTigue E. Vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia: risk factors for persistence, recurrence, and invasion and its management. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;176(Pt 1):93-9.
58. Roka S, Rasoul-Rockenschau S, Roka J, Kirnbauer R, Mühlbacher F, Salat A. Prevalence of anal HPV infection in solid-organ transplant patients prior to immunosuppression. *Transpl Int*. 2004;17:366-9.
59. Sunesen KG, Nørgaard M, Thorlacius-Ussing O, Laurberg S. Immunosuppressive disorders and risk of anal squamous cell carcinoma: a nationwide cohort study in Denmark, 1978-2005. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;127:675-84.
60. Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Fraumeni JF Jr, et al. Spectrum of Cancer Risk Among US Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *JAMA*. 2011;306:1891-901.
61. Peters RK, Mack TM, Bernstein L. Parallels in the epidemiology of selected anogenital carcinomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1984;72:609-15.
62. Edgren G, Sparén P. Risk of anogenital cancer after diagnosis of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a prospective population-based study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2007;8:311-6.

63. Saleem AM, Paulus JK, Shapter AP, Baxter NN, Roberts PL, Ricciardi R. Risk of Anal Cancer in a Cohort With Human Papillomavirus-Related Obstet Gynecol. 2011;117:643-9.
64. Bower M, Powles T, Newsom-Davis T, et al. HIV-Associated Anal Cancer: Has Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Reduced the Incidence or Improved the Outcome? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2004;37:1563-5.
65. Patel P, Hanson DL, Sullivan PS, et al. Incidence of types of cancer among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population in the United States, 1992-2003. Ann Intern Med. 2008;148:728-36.
66. Gras L, Van Sighem A, Smit C, Zaheri S, Schuitemaker H, De Wolf F. Monitoring report 2007: Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in The Netherlands. Amsterdam: Stichting HIV Monitoring (Dutch HIV monitoring foundation); 2007 (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: www.hiv-monitoring.nl
67. Van Sighem A, Smit C, Gras L, et al. Monitoring report 2011: Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in The Netherlands. Amsterdam: Stichting HIV Monitoring (Dutch HIV monitoring foundation); 2011 (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: www.hiv-monitoring.nl
68. Hemke AC, Dekker FW, Bos WJ, Krediet RT, Heemskerk MB, Hoitsma AJ. [Causes of decreased use of peritoneal dialysis as a kidney replacement therapy in the Netherlands]. Ned Tijdschr Geneesk. 2012;156:A3871.
69. Draper H, Frenken F. Aantal rokers daalt nog steeds, de verkoop van sigaretten echter niet meer. Webmagazine Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (CBS) (Dutch Statistics Centre), (serial on the Internet). 2008 June 23; (cited 2012 June 30); Available from: <http://www.cbs.nl/nl-NL/menu/themas/gezondheid-welzijn/publicaties/artikelen/archief/2008/2008-2458-wm.htm>
70. Frisch M, Melbye M, Møller H. Trends in incidence of anal cancer in Denmark. BMJ. 1993;306:419-22.