Dutch guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

Dutch/Belgium HOVON CLL working group: S. Kersting¹*, M-D. Levin², M. Chamuleau³, S.M.G.J. Daenen⁴, E.C. Dompeling⁵, J.K. Doorduijn⁶, M. van Gelder⁷, M. Hoogendoorn⁸, J.M. Kerst⁹, M. Nijland⁴, M.R. Nijziel¹⁰, E.F.M. Posthuma¹¹, R.A.P. Raymakers¹², M.R. Schaafsma¹³, M.H. Silbermann¹⁴, H.M. van der Straaten¹⁵, J.H. Veelken¹⁶, J.M.I. Vos¹⁷, S. Wittebol¹⁸, M.H.J. van Oers¹⁹, A.P. Kater¹⁹

Departments of 'Hematology, Haga Teaching Hospital, The Hague, the Netherlands, ²Hematology, Albert Schweitzer Hospital, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, ³Hematology, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ⁴Hematology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands, ⁵Hematology, Isala, Zwolle, the Netherlands, ⁶Hematology, Erasmus MC-Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, ⁷Hematology, Maastricht UMC+, Maastricht, the Netherlands, ⁸Hematology, Medical Center Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, the Netherlands, ⁹Hematology/Oncology, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ¹⁰Internal Medicine, Máxima Medical Center, Eindhoven, the Netherlands, ¹¹Hematology, Reinier de Graaf, Delft, the Netherlands, ¹²Hematology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands, ¹³Internal Medicine, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands, ¹⁴Internal Medicine, Tergooi, Hilversum, the Netherlands, ¹⁵Internal Medicine, St Jansdal, Harderwijk, the Netherlands, ¹⁶Hematology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands, ¹⁷Internal Medicine, Sint Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands, ¹⁸Internal Medicine, Gelderse Vallei Hospital, Ede, the Netherlands, ¹⁹Hematology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, *corresponding author: tel.: +31 (0)70-2100000, fax: +31 (0)70-2102209, email: s.kersting@hagaziekenhuis.nl

ABSTRACT

In recent years, considerable progress has been made in the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL). Therefore, the CLL working group of the Dutch/ Belgium Haemato-Oncology Foundation for Adults in the Netherlands (HOVON) framework revised the Dutch guidelines based on new studies and expert opinion of members of the working group.

KEYWORDS

Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, CLL, guidelines, HOVON

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, considerable progress has been made in the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL). Within the Dutch/Belgium Haemato-Oncology Foundation for Adults in the Netherlands (HOVON) framework, the purpose of the HOVON CLL working

group is to facilitate access to new treatments and contribute to the development of new treatment options. Besides conducting six trials in recent years, the HOVON CLL working group also formulated the Dutch guideline on diagnosis and treatment of CLL in 2011.¹ Recently, this guideline was revised based on new studies and the expert opinion of members of the working group and published in the *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Hematologie*.² This journal has been given permission to publish this current manuscript.

DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of CLL can be suspected when peripheral blood lymphocytosis is present and morphology shows smudge cells and small lymphocytes with dense nuclei and partially aggregated chromatin. The diagnosis should be confirmed by flow cytometry. The amount of circulating monoclonal B cells should be \geq 5 x 10⁹/l with an immunophenotype characteristic for CLL, with expression of CD19, CD5 and CD23 and weak expression of CD200. Additional expression of CD200 and CD43 can

be seen. Expression of CD79b should be weak or absent and FMC7 should be absent. Bone marrow examination is not necessary, but may be indicated for differentiation of anaemia or thrombocytopenia due to bone marrow suppression or autoimmune destruction.

The diagnosis of small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL) can be made if either palpable or radiographic lymphadenopathy is present, but when the number of circulating monoclonal B cells is $< 5 \times 10^9$ /l. Histopathological evaluation of the lymph node will show lymphoid cells with dense nuclei with partially aggregated chromatin in combination with a CLL / SLL appropriate immunophenotype.³

History and physical examination

In early stages the disease presents with few or no symptoms. History should include World Health Organisation (WHO) performance score, fever, weight loss, night sweats and infections. Physical examination should include palpation of all lymph node areas, spleen and liver.

Additional examination

Additional examinations are needed for staging the disease, to detect complications of the disease (haemolysis, autoimmune thrombocytopenia, hypogammaglobulinaemia) and to diagnose active or chronic infection which may be aggravated by treatment with monoclonal antibodies (hepatitis B, C). Prognostic markers (cytogenetics, especially del(17p) and p53 mutation) are required in studies and are strongly recommended in daily practice if treatment is indicated. IgVH mutational status is required in studies and optional in daily practice.

In daily practice, imaging studies are of little value. An abdominal ultrasound is not necessary if all lymph node areas and the liver and spleen are easily palpable on physical examination. A chest X-ray is recommended to diagnose hilar lymphadenopathy and pre-existing lung abnormalities or infections.

Clinical stage and indications for starting treatment

The staging systems according to Rai and Binet are still used for indicating the start of treatment (*table 1*).^{4,5} In an advanced stage of the disease, there is always a treatment indication. In early stage disease, treatment is only indicated when active disease is present. The criteria for active disease consist of disease-related symptoms, bone marrow failure, refractory anaemia or autoimmune thrombocytopenia, and the presence and progression of splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy and lymphocytosis (*table 2*). Marked hypogammaglobulinaemia, a monoclonal protein or a high leukocyte count in the absence of any of the above criteria are not indications for treatment. Autoimmune phenomena, especially autoimmune haemolytic anaemia and immune thrombocytopenia, can occur and should be distinguished from cytopenias due to bone marrow infiltration. This can be done by blood testing (signs of haemolysis) but does require bone marrow examination in certain cases. Autoimmune cytopenias without signs of infiltration should be treated similarly to patients without CLL with prednisone I mg/kg, with tapering after response. If, in general, no response is seen after one month of treatment, response is less likely to occur and treatment of underlying CLL warranted.⁶

Response evaluation after start of treatment

In daily practice, history, physical examination and blood cell counts are sufficient for the evaluation of response. Bone marrow examination is only indicated to resolve unexplained cytopenia. If there is an indication to follow stricter response criteria (as in studies), imaging (CT neck, thorax and abdomen) and bone marrow examination are generally required. The response can then be classified according to response criteria in complete remission, partial remission or progressive disease (table 3). Moreover, the value of minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring by either multi-colour flow cytometry or polymerasechain reaction based methods is currently being tested as surrogate endpoint. So far, MRD showed improved correlation with progression-free survival as compared with response rates based on International Workshop on CLL criteria.7 At this moment, MRD guidance should still be considered experimental.8

TREATMENT

Choice of treatment

It is important to take a number of aspects into consideration when there is a treatment indication. Intensity of treatment should be weighed against age, WHO performance score, comorbidity and toxicity of previous therapy. It can help to classify patients on clinical grounds into three groups: fit (patients without comorbidity), unfit (patients with any comorbidity; WHO o-2) or frail (patients with severe comorbidities; WHO 3-4). An alternative is to calculate a Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) score. (http://farmacologiaclinica.info/scales/CIRS-G/) A CIRS \leq 6 is considered fit.⁹

If, however, the performance score is reduced by disease activity (cytopenia or lymphadenopathy), this is not a reason to refrain from intensive immunochemotherapy.

The risk profile of CLL (especially del(17p) / p53 mutation) and the associated expected response to standard therapy are important factors in guiding treatment choices. The frequency of del(17p) and p53 mutation increases with successive relapses and fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) or p53 mutation analysis at relapse is therefore important. Finally, the choice of treatment can be based

| Table 1. Clinical stage according to Rai and Binet | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Rai | Binet | Indications for starting treatment | | | | |
| o Lymphocytosis | $A \le 2$ lymph node regions | No | | | | |
| I Lymphadenopathy | $B \ge 3$ lymph node regions | Optional (if active disease, see <i>table 2</i>) | | | | |
| II Splenomegaly and/or hepatomegaly | | Optional (if active disease, see <i>table 2</i>) | | | | |
| II Anaemia <6.9 mmol/l* C Anaemia <6.2 mmol/l* / Yes, regardless of progress thrombocytopenia <100x10°/l | | Yes, regardless of progression | | | | |
| IV Thrombocytopenia <100x10º/l * | | Yes, regardless of progression | | | | |

 $^{*}\ensuremath{\mathrm{If}}$ anaemia and thrombocytopenia is not caused by autoantibodies.

Table 2. Criteria for active disease

| | At least one of the following six criteria must be present | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| I. | At least one of the following disease-related symptoms: a. Weight loss ≥10% within the previous 6 months b. Extreme fatigue (WHO performance status ≥2) c. Fever ≥38.6°C for ≥2 weeks, without evidence infections d. Night sweats without evidence infections | | |
| 2. | Evidence of progressive marrow failure as manifested by the development of, or worsening of anaemia and/or thrombocytopenia | | |
| 3. | Autoimmune anaemia and/or thrombocytopenia poorly responsive to corticosteroid therapy | | |
| 4. | Massive (i.e., >6 cm below the left costal margin) or progressive splenomegaly | | |
| 5. | Massive nodes or clusters (i.e., >10 cm in longest diameter) or progressive lymphadenopathy | | |
| 6. | Progressive lymphocytosis with an increase of >50% over a 2-month period, or an anticipated doubling time of less than 6 months | | |

Table 3. Response determination in studies.

| | Parameter | Complete remission | Partial remission | Progressive disease | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Response definition | All of the following criteria | At least 2 criteria of 1,2,3 plus 1 criterion of 5a-c (minimal duration of 2 months) | At least 1 criterion | | |
| I | Peripheral blood lymphocytes | <4.0x10 ⁹ /l | ≥50% decrease from baseline | ≥50 increase (≥5.0x10º/l) | | |
| 2 | Lymphadenopathy | Absent (none >1.5 cm) | ≥50% decrease from baseline, no increase of new lymph nodes | ≥50% increase or new (>1.5 cm) | | |
| 3 | Hepatomegaly / splenomegaly | Absent | \ge 50% decrease from baseline | ≥50% increase or new (>1.5 cm) | | |
| 4 | B-symptoms | Absent | Not applicable | Not applicable | | |
| 5a | Neutrophils | >1.5x10 ⁹ /l | >1.5x10 ⁹ /l | Not applicable | | |
| 5b | Platelets | >100x10º/l | >100x10º/l or ≥ 50% improvement over baseline | ≥50% decrease from baseline or to <100x10º/l attributable to CLL | | |
| 5c | Haemoglobin | >6.8 mmol/l | >6.8 µmol/l or ≥ 50% improvement over baseline | Decrease of >1.3 μmol/l from baseline or to <6.2 μmol/l attributable to CLL | | |
| 6 | Bone marrow | Normocellular, no lymphoid nodi, <30% lymphocytes | Not applicable | Not applicable | | |
| 7 | Other | Not applicable | Not applicable | CLL transformation | | |

CVan Zuiden Communications B.V. All rights reserved.

on whether the patient prefers decreased toxicity over increased progression-free survival.

TREATMENT IN STUDIES

Considerations

The purpose of the HOVON CLL working group is to facilitate access to new treatments and to contribute to the development of new treatment options. To make this possible, the aim is to have studies open for both fit and unfit patients with an indication for first-line or relapse treatment, so that many patients can participate. An overview of the studies of the HOVON CLL working group can be found on http://www.hovon.nl/studies/studies-perziektebeeld/cll.html

TREATMENT OUTSIDE STUDY

Front-line treatment in fit patients

• Fludarabine-cyclophosphamide-rituximab (FCR), target six cycles:

Fludarabine 40 mg/m² orally every four weeks on day 1-3; cyclophosphamide 250 mg/m² orally every four weeks on day 1-3; first infusion of rituximab at a dose of 375 mg/m², then 500 mg/m² every four weeks

Patients treated with fludarabine should receive prophylaxis for *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia and herpes for six months after the last dose. Irradiated blood products should be given until one year after the last dose.

In fit patients, the goal of treatment is to obtain long-term progression-free survival with the improvement of overall survival. In late 2010, the results of a large randomised German study were published, where fludarabinecyclophosphamide (FC) was compared with FCR. FCR was clearly superior with a response rate of 90% (including 44% complete responses), an almost 20-month longer duration of response and improved survival (after 3 years: 87% versus 82%; HR 0.664, p = 0.012).¹⁰ FCR is the first treatment that has proven improved survival. This was confirmed in the long-term follow-up of this study with a median follow-up of 5.9 years, with a median overall survival that was not reached for the FCR group and 86 months for the FC group.¹¹ A limitation of the study is that the patients were not representative of the 'normal' CLL population: they were fit (CIRS score \leq 6; creatinine clearance > 70 ml / min) and relatively young. Only 10% were older than 70 years. Nevertheless, FCR is now the standard first-line treatment for fit patients regardless of age. The average response time is almost five years.

Front-line treatment in unfit patients

• Chlorambucil in combination with a CD20 monoclonal antibody, target 12 cycles or one year:

Chlorambucil 10 mg/m² orally every four weeks on day 1-7 OR 10 mg orally every four weeks on day 1-14 OR 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day orally, continuously OR 0.4 mg/kg orally every four weeks on day 1-14, OR 20 mg orally every four weeks on day 1-5

Rituximab: First infusion 375 mg/m², then 500 mg/m², every four weeks

Obinutuzumab, cycle 1: first infusion 100 mg + 900 mg on day 1, then 1000 mg on day 8 and 15; cycles 2-6: 1000 mg every four weeks

Ofatumumab: first infusion 300 mg, then 2000 mg, every week (8 times), then 2000 mg every four weeks (4 times)

• Bendamustine-rituximab, target six cycles:

Bendamustine 90 mg/m², IV every four weeks on day 1-2 Rituximab: First infusion 375 mg/m^2 , then 500 mg/m^2 , every four weeks

Patients treated with bendamustine may receive *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia and herpes prophylaxis for six months after the last dose. Irradiated blood products are recommended until one year after the last dose.

In unfit patients, the goal of treatment is to improve symptoms and prolong progression-free survival, with acceptable toxicity. The combination of chlorambucil with the recommended dosage of the CD20 monoclonal antibodies obinutuzumab and ofatumumab seems superior to the combination with standard-dose rituximab. In the three-arm phase 3 study of the German CLL group (CLL11 study), a relatively low dose of single-agent chlorambucil was compared with either a combination with standard-dose rituximab or with the novel monoclonal CD20 antibody obinutuzumab at a higher dose.12 Both combination arms showed improvement in response rate and response duration compared with chlorambucil monotherapy, with a progression-free survival of 26.7 months with chlorambucil-obinutuzumab versus II.I months with chlorambucil alone and 16.3 months with chlorambucil-rituximab. Combination with obinutuzumab was more effective than combination with rituximab (hazard ratio 0.39; 95% CI 0.31 to 0.49; p < 0.001). Overall survival was significantly better in the obinutuzumab combination versus monotherapy. A similar concept was tested by the English CLL study group, where the chlorambucil-ofatumumab combination was compared with chlorambucil monotherapy.¹³ Also in this study, the combination arm was superior, both in terms of response rates (overall response of chlorambucil-ofatumumab 82% versus chlorambucil alone 69%, p = 0.001) and duration of response (progression-free survival of chlorambucilofatumumab 22.4 months versus chlorambucil alone 13.1 months).

The CLL 10 study (in fit CLL patients) showed that in patients older than 60 years bendamustine in combination with rituximab was as effective as FCR. Bendamustine has both biochemical overlap with purine analogues and with alkylators, and has a more favourable toxicity profile as compared with fludarabine.¹⁴ The average response time for bendamustine-rituximab is 3.75 years.

Bendamustine has been registered for first-line treatment of patients who cannot tolerate therapy containing fludarabine because of toxicity, specifically neutropenic fever.

In frail patients, the goal of treatment is symptom control. The mean response duration of single-agent chlorambucil is one year, in combination with rituximab this increases to about 16 months, in combination with obinutuzumab and ofatumumab to more than two years.^{12,13}

Treatment at relapse in fit patients without del(17p) / p53 mutation and response duration ≥ 2 years

• Repeat previous immunochemotherapy or introduce monoclonal CD20 antibody in case of previous treatment without immunotherapy

Bendamustine-Rituximab

In a study with previously treated CLL patients, FCR significantly improved progression-free survival compared with FC, with a median duration of 30.6 months for FCR versus 20.6 months for FC.¹⁵ The combination bendamustine and rituximab in relapsed CLL showed a response rate of almost 60%, mostly partial remissions. The median event-free survival was 14.7 months.¹⁶ In the Netherlands, bendamustine has not yet received an add-on status for this indication and is therefore not reimbursed.

Treatment at relapse in fit patients with relapse < 2 years after FCR or refractory disease

• R-DHAP, target six cycles (followed by non-myeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplantation):

Dexamethasone 40 mg orally or IV every four weeks on day 1-4, cisplatin 100 mg/m² IV on day 1, cytarabine 2000 mg/m² IV every 12 hours on day 2, rituximab: first infusion 375 mg/m², then 500 mg/m².

Antibacterial and antifungal prophylaxis should be administered during R-DHAP. The prescribed medication is according to local policy.

• Kinase inhibitors (ibrutinib 420 mg (3 capsules) orally 1

x daily, continuously until progression:

Idelalisib 150 mg orally 2 x daily, continuously until progression, rituximab (max 8 times), 375 mg/m² on day 1, 500 mg/m² in week 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 17, 21)

• Alemtuzumab (week I: 3 mg SC on day I, 10 mg SC on day 2, 30 mg SC on day 3; week 2-12: 30 mg SC x 3 a week (Mon-Wed-Fri).

Patients treated with alemtuzumab should receive prophylaxis for *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia and herpes for six months after the last dose. Irradiated blood products should be given until one year after the last dose. Moreover, monitoring of cytomegalovirus (weekly) and Epstein-Barr virus (monthly) should be performed at least one year after last alemtuzumab dose.

In patients with a relapse < 2 years after FCR or refractory disease, allogeneic stem cell transplantation should be considered.¹⁷ Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in patients with refractory and high-risk CLL results in responses to 70% and a five-year survival of about 50%.^{18,19} A graft versus leukaemia effect may be responsible for this possibly curative response. The non-relapse mortality is approximately 20%, and is mainly caused by infections and graft-versus-host disease. This highly effective treatment is therefore only available to a very limited (fit, relatively young) patient population. The better disease control before transplantation, the greater the chance of a long-term response. In particular, node size is an important predictor of treatment success.

HOVON 88 showed the potency of R-DHAP to achieve clinical responses in these high-risk patients who were consolidated by a non-myeloablative stem cell transplantation.²⁰ It is important to give antibiotic prophylaxis with R-DHAP, because of a high incidence of infectious complications in this study before prophylaxis was advised.

If allogeneic stem cell transplantation is not considered, treatment with the CD52 antibody alemtuzumab is a possibility, especially in disease with little lymphadenopathy. In patients with lymph nodes of > 5 cm less response to alemtuzumab is seen and alternative treatment is warrented.²¹ It is important to monitor opportunistic infections, particularly cytomegalovirus. Alemtuzumab is no longer registered for CLL, but still available (free of charge) until at least 2017.

Treatment for relapse in unfit patients

- Repeat previous immunochemotherapy
- Bendamustine-rituximab
- Kinase inhibitors
- Ofatumumab: first infusion 300 mg, then 2000 mg, every week (8 times), then 2000 mg every four weeks (4 times)

© Van Zuiden Communications B.V. All rights reserved.

If a relapse occurs more than six months after the previous immunochemotherapy and there is no del(17p) / p53 mutation, repeating this treatment can be considered. With a shorter duration of response or del(17p) / p53 mutation, such repetition is not expected to be effective. For unfit patients, bendamustine in combination with rituximab could be considered. Ofatumumab, the fully human monoclonal CD20 antibody, has proven effectiveness not only in patients who are refractory to fludarabine as well as alemtuzumab (OR 58%), but also in patients who are refractory to fludarabine, and have greatly enlarged nodes (OR 47%). The median duration of response in both groups was about six months.22 Based on these findings, ofatumumab has been registered and is reimbursed as an add-on for CLL patients who are refractory to fludarabine and alemtuzumab.

Treatment at relapse with del(17p) / p53 mutation

- R-DHAP, followed by non-myeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplantation
- Kinase inhibitors
- Alemtuzumab

Del(17p) or p53 mutation is associated with resistance to most immunochemotherapy. The frequency of del(17p) and p53 mutation increases with successive relapses. FISH or p53 mutation analysis at relapse is therefore important if clinical consequences are present. Responses in allogeneic stem cell transplantation occur independently of del(17p) / p53 mutation. R-DHAP treatment is an effective induction regimen before non-myeloablative stem cell transplantation in this patient population. Alemtuzumab has proven effectiveness in patients with del(17p)/ p53 mutation.²³

Indications for kinase inhibitors

- Presence of del(17p) / p53 mutation
- Need for treatment < 2 years after FCR or bendamustinerituximab
- Need for treatment < 6 months after chlorambucil in combination with a CD20 monoclonal antibody

Kinase inhibitors interrupt crucial signalling pathways from the membrane to the cell nucleus. The most effective kinase inhibitors block the B-cell receptor signalling pathway, by inhibiting the kinases Btk (ibrutinib = Imbruvica[®]) and PI₃K- δ (idelalisib, = Zydelig[®]). These inhibitors can be given orally and in case of idelalisib in combination with rituximab. Two recently published studies, both in high-risk patients, showed impressive response duration.²⁴⁻²⁶ Rapid relapses occur after treatment cessation, and therefore these drugs should be continued until relapse or progression. These new drugs have specific side effects such as gastrointestinal side effects and skin toxicity with idelalisib²⁷ and thrombocyte aggregation inhibition and atrial fibrillation with ibrutinib. Ibrutinib is therefore used with caution in patients with atrial fibrillation and/or treatment with therapeutic anticoagulation. Only limited bone marrow suppression occurs. A specific phenomenon of treatment with kinase inhibitors is a temporary lymphocytosis in combination with a rapid decrease of lymphadenopathy in the first weeks after initiation of therapy.

Ibrutinib and idelalisib are registered for the treatment of CLL patients after at least one prior therapy, or as front-line therapy in the presence of del(17p) or p53 mutation in patients not eligible for immunochemotherapy. These expensive drugs are reimbursed as add-on.

The average response time is still unclear. After 1.5 years more than half of the patients are still in remission.

Given the lack of literature about side effects with prolonged use, and the question whether successful reinduction is possible for patients who progress on a kinase inhibitor, it is advised to treat only those patients with kinase inhibitors that have active disease during or shortly after optimal immuno-chemotherapy.

DISCLOSURES

A.P. Kater: advisory boards of Janssen, Roche; consulting honorarium Mundipharma; congress speaker for Janssen,

- Roche, GSK; research support of Sanofi, Celgene.
- S. Kersting: advisory boards of Novartis, Gilead.
- M-D. Levin: Advisory boards of Roche and Amgen.
- E.F.M Posthuma: advisory Boards of Roche, Gilead.
- J.K. Doorduijn: congress speaker for Roche.
- S. Wittebol: advisory board of Gilead.
- M.R. Nijziel: congress speaker for Janssen.
- R.A.P. Raymakers: advisory boards of Novartis, Roche.
- M. Hoogendoorn: advisory boards of Janssen, Novartis.

M. Chamuleau: advisory board of Roche; congress speaker Roche; research support of Celgene.

M. van Gelder: advisory boards of Janssen, Roche; consulting honorarium Mundipharma; congress speaker Janssen, Roche; research support of Celgene.

The other authors declare no competing financial interests.

R E F E R E N C E S

- Kater AP, Wittebol S, Chamuleau ME, et al. Dutch guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia 2011. Neth J Med. 2011;69:422-9.
- Kersting S, Levin MD, Chamuleau, et al. Richtlijn voor diagnostiek en behandeling van chronische lymfatische leukemie/kleincellig lymfocytair lymfoom. Ned Tijdschr Hematol. 2015;12:205-16.
- Hallek M, Cheson BD, Catovsky D, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a report from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia updating the National Cancer Institute-Working Group 1996 guidelines. Blood. 2008;111:5446-56.

© Van Zuiden Communications B.V. All rights reserved.

- Rai KR, Sawitsky A, Cronkite EP, et al. Clinical staging of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 1975;46:219-34.
- Binet JL, Auquier A, Dighiero G, et al. A new prognostic classification of chronic lymphocytic leukemia derived from a multivariate survival analysis. Cancer. 1981;48:198-206.
- Rodeghiero F, Stasi R, Gernsheimer T, et al. Standardization of terminology, definitions and outcome criteria in immune thrombocytopenic purpura of adults and children: report from an international working group. Blood. 2009;113:2386-93.
- Böttcher S, Ritgen M, Fischer K, et al. Minimal residual disease quantification is an independent predictor of progression-free and overall survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a multivariate analysis from the randomized GCLLSG CLL8 trial. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:980-8.
- Thompson PA, Wierda WG. Eliminating minimal residual disease as a therapeutic endpoint: working toward cure for patients with CLL. Blood. 2015 Nov 17. [Epub ahead of print]
- Extermann M, Overcash J, Lyman GH, Parr J, Balducci L. Comorbidity and functional status are independent in older cancer patients. J Clin. Oncol. 1998;16:1582-87.
- Hallek M, Fischer K, Fingerle-Rowson G, et al. Addition of rituximab to fludarabine and cyclophosphamide in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2010;376:1164-74.
- Fischer K, Bahlo J, Fink AM. Long term remissions after FCR chemoimmunotherapy in previously untreated patients with CLL: updated results of the CLL8 trial. Blood. 2015 Oct 20 [Epub ahead of print]
- Goede V, Fischer K, Busch R, et al. Obinutuzumab plus chlorambucil in patients with CLL and coexisting conditions. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:1101-10.
- Hillmen P, Robak T, Jansen A, et al. Ofatumumab + Chlorambucil Versus Chlorambucil Alone In Patients With Untreated Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL): Results Of The Phase III Study Complement 1 (OMB110911). Blood. 2013;122:Abstract 528.
- 14. Eichhorst B, Fink AM, Busch R, et al. Chemoimmunotherapy With Fludarabine (F), Cyclophosphamide (C), and Rituximab (R) (FCR) Versus Bendamustine and Rituximab (BR) In previously Untreated and Physically Fit Patients (pts) With Advanced Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL): Results Of a Planned Interim Analysis of the CLL10 Trial, an International, Randomized Study of the German CLL Study Group (GCLLSG). Blood. 2013;122:526.
- Robak T, Dmoszynska A, Solal-Céligny P, et al. Rituximab plus fludarabine and cyclophosphamide prolongs progression-free survival compared with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide alone in previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:1756-65.

- Fischer K, Cramer P, Busch R, et al. Bendamustine combined with rituximab in patients with relapsed and/or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a multicenter phase II trial of the German Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:3559-66.
- Dreger P, Corradini P, Kimby E, et al. Indications for allogeneic stem cell transplantation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: the EBMT transplant consensus. Leukemia. 2007;21:12-7.
- Sorror ML, Storer BE, Sandmaier BM, et al. Five-year follow-up of patients with advanced chronic lymphocytic leukemia treated with allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation after nonmyeloablative conditioning. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:4912-20.
- Dreger P, Dohner H, Ritgen M, et al. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation provides durable disease control in poor-risk chronic lymphocytic leukemia: long-term clinical and MRD results of the German CLL Study Group CLL₃X trial. Blood. 2010;116:2438-47.
- 20. Van Gelder M, Ghidey W, Chamuleau MED, et al. R-DHAP Immunochemotherapy Is An Effective Remission-Induction Treatment For CLL Patients With Fludarabine Refractory Disease With Or Without Deletion 17p, Enabling The Majority To Proceed To Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation. Blood. 2013;122:2883.
- Keating MJ, Flinn I, Jain V, et al. Therapeutic role of alemtuzumab (Campath-1H) in patients who have failed fludarabine: results of a large international study. Blood. 2002;99:3554-61.
- 22. Wierda WG, Kipps TJ, Mayer J, et al. Ofatumumab As Single-Agent CD20 Immunotherapy in Fludarabine-Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:1749-55.
- 23. Pettitt AR, Jackson R, Carruthers S, et al. Alemtuzumab in combination with methylprednisolone is a highly effective induction regimen for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and deletion of TP53: final results of the national cancer research institute CLL206 trial. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:1647-55.
- 24. Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre SE, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:32-42.
- Byrd JC, Brown JR, O'Brien S, et al. Ibrutinib versus Ofatumumab in Previously Treated Chronic Lymphoid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:213-23.
- Furman RR, Sharman JP, Coutre SE, et al. Idelalisib and rituximab in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:997-1007.
- Coutre SE, Barrientos JC, Brown JR, et al. Management of adverse events associated with idelalisib treatment: expert panel opinion. Leuk Lymphoma. 2015;19:1-8

© Van Zuiden Communications B.V. All rights reserved.